# The use of archaeologists at disaster scenes: a reflection 10 years on

Dr Soren Blau, Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine, Monash University, offers an update of her 2005 paper.

The Australian frame of Directories Interaggivent, this 20 No. 1 National 2003

# One chance only: advocating the use of archaeology in search, location and recovery at disaster scenes

Soren Blau argues for the inclusion of forensic archaeologists in omergency situation

#### Abstract

The paths to startification by personnel the discipline of anhancing in bring carcineted with accident ratin, treasure handing and Egyption research. While archaeology that have sufficient born a perception problem, there is no closed that the despite plant available ratin is mainlying relations disord both society and distant part calmans, in the last decade anchorology has extended in alley sits formers, anchorology has provided in alley sits formers, Archaeology has proved that to be an effective anneality, and cause disorder soons investigation, Archaeology has proved intell to be an effective messengation of both instructed participation. National content of the transition of the provided of the provided

### Introduction

It is devised that a time and dissert some investigation are well experienced and supapped to understand the seminary recording of each sorter. The time of the paper is to discuss the editinely new disciplates of literates exhausting and flustrates been the Common understanding and flustrates of the Common understanding and the Common understanding and the Common understanding and the Common understanding and the accomplete more control of the Common understanding and the t

approach, emboxing provention, preparedness, imposse and receivey (Aurin 1996, 11)

Authorology has been defined as the study of the pastface its material remain. The discipline of as having his born over-thind by a perspiral poolem, often being associated with ancient mass, the explore of ladding Joston Carlo Cell, transier handing, brothnating and figgress manusers. Beopin those popular institution-options, and handings is a performant occupation for which you require a surveying digite and directly a corps of operation distills. The professional exhanding this research width, is competent in survey extraction, recording inchesques and report watering, and often others a specificial analysis such as that of human remains.

surgine such as that the transport man transport and the period of the p

some reveningsten bet and termin in indexe, remin some reveningsten bet and termin indexe, remin some reveningsten and archaeolog's have under unresed depotitives, that is, it a consummar personal some artisms by sauching for revening and posterioring, physical resistant, subtleth tiley be objects, suppose or readors. Albrecky the time periods may be different the philosophy and providents are much the same (Different and Advisors 1997, 45):

In 2005, Dr Soren Blau summarised the ways in which the inclusion of appropriately trained archaeologists at disaster scenes could benefit the search, location and recovery of buried evidence. The article outlined how archaeology had been an effective forensic sciences tool domestically and internationally in many countries but that it had not been taken up in the same way in Australia. It was recognised that the situation in Australia was more than likely a result of misconceptions about the role of archaeology, namely that the discipline is associated with treasure hunting, tomb raiding and laborious recovery of remains. In an attempt to dispel this misconception, a preliminary workshop was held in 2003 at the University of Adelaide which brought together professional archaeologists and representatives from emergency and forensic services to discuss how each of the areas potentially overlapped and where archaeologists could assist. It was anticipated that this workshop could lay the foundation for the development of a formalised

database of names of professional archaeologists who could advise and assist forensic and emergency services where required. While some agencies did receive the list, a professional group of Australian forensic archaeologists was, unfortunately, never formed. While there are organisations such as NSW Police who have an Expert Referral Team which includes forensic anthropologists with expertise in the recovery of buried evidence, there is no established formal group of forensic archaeologists. This differs to the situation overseas where professional organisations have been established (e.g. NecroSearch setup in 1991 in the USA and the Forensic Search and Advisory Group established in the UK in 1995). Such organisations provide a register of appropriately trained forensic anthropology/archaeology practitioners that law enforcement and emergency services organisations can consult when required.

Forensic archaeology (Hunter & Cox 2005, Hunter et al. 2013) and forensic anthropology (Blau & Ubelaker 2009, Dirkmaat 2012a) have emerged as distinct disciplines in the UK. However, there are no such divisions throughout Latin America and in the USA where many forensic anthropologists are trained in archaeology and incorporate the methods and techniques in their daily work. In Australia, many of the forensic service providers employ forensic anthropologists, some of whom have training in archaeology (Donlon 2009). The value of including such practitioners at disaster scenes was seen during the disaster victim identification DVI





process following the 2009 Victorian Bushfires (Blau & Briggs 2011).

While forensic archaeology is still not an independent, recognised discipline in Australia, efforts to raise awareness about the benefits of including a forensic archaeologist and/or anthropologist where evidence (including human remains) may be buried are continuing in the form of training and education for relevant stakeholders. For example, the Victorian Institute of Forensic Medicine has delivered training in the location and recovery of buried evidence to Australian Federal Police crime scene examiners (Blau & Sterenberg in press A) and, more recently, in collaboration with the Institute of Technology, Sydney, to Victorian Police crime scene examiners.

While Australian police and emergency services agencies still do not routinely recognise the value of including a forensic archaeologist and/or anthropologist at a disaster scene, the increase in recent national (Blau & Sterenberg in press B) and international publications on these subjects (e.g. Cheetham & Hanson 2009, Cox et al. 2008, Dupras et al. 2012, Dirkmaat 2012b, Groen et al. in press, Holland & Connell 2009) clearly highlights the benefits. It is hoped that with continued awareness raising the situation in Australian will begin shift.

## References

Blau S 2005, One chance only: advocating the use of archaeology in search, location and recovery at disaster scenes. The Australian Journal of Emergency Management vol 20, no. 1, pp. 19-24.

Blau S & Briggs C 2011, The 2009 Victorian Bushfires Disaster: The role of forensic anthropology in Disaster Victim Identification (DVI). Forensic Science International 205: pp. 19-35.

Blau S & Sterenberg J In press A, The use of (forensic) archaeology in Australia in the search and recovery of buried evidence: A Review. In: Groen, M., Márquez-Grant, N. and

Janaway, R. (eds.). Forensic Archaeology: A Global Perspective. Wilev-Blackwell.

Blau S & Sterenberg J In press B, The use of forensic archaeology and anthropology in the search and recovery of buried evidence. In: Payne-James, J. and Byard, R. (eds.) Encyclopedia of Forensic and Legal Medicine (2nd edition). Elsevier.

Cheetham PN & Hanson I 2009, Excavation and recovery in forensic archaeological investigations. In: Blau S & Ubelaker DH (eds.) Hand book of Forensic Anthropology and Archaeology, pp. 141-149. California: Left Coast Press.

Cox M, Flavel A, Hanson I, Laver J & Wessling R 2008, The Scientific Investigation of Mass Graves: Towards Protocols and Standard Operating Procedures. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dirkmaat DC 2012a, A Companion to Forensic Anthropology. Wiley-Blackwell.

Dirkmaat DC 2012b, Forensic anthropology at the mass fatality incident (commercial airliner) crash scene. In: Dirkmaat, D.C (ed.) A Companion to Forensic Anthropology, pp.. 136-156. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.

Donlon D 2009, The development and current state of forensic anthropology: An Australian perspective. In: Blau S & Ubelaker DH (eds.) Hand book of Forensic Anthropology and Archaeology. pp: 104-114. California: Left Coast Press.

Dupras T, Schultz J, Wheeler S & Williams L 2012, (2nd edt). Forensic Recovery of Human Remains: Archaeological Approaches. New York: CRC.

Groen M, Márquez-Grant N & Janaway R (eds.). Forensic Archaeology: A Global Perspective. Wiley-Blackwell publishing.

Holland TD & Connell SV 2009, The search and detection of human remains. In: Blau S & Ubelaker DH (eds.) Hand book of Forensic Anthropology and Archaeology, pp. 129-140. California: Left Coast Press.

Hunter J, Simpson B & Study Colls C 2013, Forensic Approaches to Buried Remains. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell.

Hunter J & Cox M (eds.) 2005, Forensic Archaeology: Advances in Theory and Practice. London: Routledge.