

## Table of Cases

- Alex, Re: Hormonal Treatment for Gender Identity Dysphoria [2004] FamCA 297: 89
- Annetts v Australian Stations *see* Tame v New South Wales
- Budworth v Repatriation Commission [2001] FCA 317: 205
- Burk v Commonwealth [2006] VSC 25: 209-210, 214
- Burk v Commonwealth [2008] VSCA 29: 215
- Cavenett v Commonwealth [2005] VSC 333: 209
- Cavenett v Commonwealth [2007] VSCA 88: 210, 212-214
- Cleary v Congregation of Sisters of Holy Family of Nazareth, unreported, NSWSC 23 December 1996: 201, 204
- Commonwealth v McLean [1996] NSWSC 657: 205, 207
- Covington-Thomas v Commonwealth [2007] NSWSC 779: 208-209
- Department of Health and Community Services (NT) v JWB and SMB (Marion's case) (1992) 175 CLR 218: 89
- Federal Council of British Medical Association v Commonwealth (1949) 79 CLR 201: 22
- Gillick v West Norfolk and Wisbech Area Health Authority and another [1986] 1 AC 112: 89
- GlaxoSmithKline Australia Pty Ltd v Anderson [2003] FCA 617: 30
- Hill v Commonwealth [2003] NSWSC 1025: 208
- Hussein v William Hill Group [2004] EWHC 208 (QB): 201, 204
- Jepson v Chief Constable of West Mercia Police Constabulary [2003] EWHC 3318: 94
- Joyce Fentress, et al v Shea Communications, et al No 90CI-6033 (Jefferson Circuit Court, Division 1, 1994): 172
- Kuhne v Minifie [2000] BCSC 1778: 196
- Lawrence v Lawrence [1997] BCJ No 1174: 196
- Marion's case *see* Department of Health and Community Services (NT) v JWB and SMB
- McKenzie v Lichter [2005] VSC 61: 211
- McLean v Commonwealth (1996) *see* Commonwealth v McLean
- McLean v Commonwealth (unreported, NSWSC, 28 February 1997): 205
- Metcalf v Commonwealth [2006] VSC 105: 198
- Mexico v US (*In re* US Safeguard Action Taken on Broom Corn Brooms from Mexico, USA-97-2008-01, Report of the Panel (30 January 1998) (NAFTA Chapter 20 Panel): 27
- Paxil Litigation, in re, No CV 01-07937 MRP, 2002 WL 1940708 (CDCal Aug 16, 2002) reversed No CV-01-07937, 2002 WL 31375497 (CDCal Oct 18, 2002: 176-177
- Peterson v Commonwealth [2008] VSC 166: 196, 208

## TABLE OF CASES

- |                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Pfizer Pty Ltd v Birkett (2001)<br>112 FCR 305: 30                                            | Tame v New South Wales;<br>Annetts v Australian Stations<br>(2002) 211 CLR 317: 198, 204,<br>210, 216                                                                                                     |
| Potter v Eli Lilly 926 SW (2d) 449<br>(SC Kentucky, 1996): 172                                | Tobin v SmithKline Beecham<br>Pharmaceuticals No 00-CV-<br>0025-Bea (D Wyo 6 June 2001):<br>173                                                                                                           |
| R v Brown [1994] 1 AC 212 (HL):<br>76, 84                                                     | US v Canada ( <i>In re</i> Tariffs<br>Applied by Canada to Cer-<br>tain US-Origin Agricultural<br>Products, CDA-95-2008-01,<br>Report of the Panel (2 Decem-<br>ber 1996) (NAFTA Chapter 20<br>Panel): 27 |
| R v Davidson [1969] VR 667: 108,<br>110                                                       | Wright v Commonwealth [2005]<br>VSC 200: 198, 203, 208                                                                                                                                                    |
| R v Wilson [1997] QB 47: 76, 84                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Royal Women's Hospital v Med-<br>ical Practitioners Board of Vic-<br>toria [2006] VSCA 85: 95 |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Russell v Commonwealth [1999]<br>VSC 437: 196, 208                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Singline v Commonwealth<br>[2007] NSWSC 900: 208                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Stankowski v Commonwealth<br>[2004] NSWSC 198: 208                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                           |